


REMARKS

A substitute sheet for page 66 is submitted to cure inadvertent errors in the column subheadings of Table 20. The claims have been amended to eliminate multiple dependency.

Respectfully submitted,
Bacon & Thomas


George A. Loud
Registration No. 25,814

Date: September 1, 2006

Customer Number **23364**
Telephone: 703-683-0500

ETOR3003.IDS.b2.wpd

[Table 20]

		luminance (c d / m ²)	
		Comparative Example 15	Comparative Example 16
parallel direction	left 45 deg.	1 1 8 0	1 2 6 0
	left 30 deg.	1 5 6 0	1 3 3 0
	0 deg.	1 5 6 0	1 2 4 0
	right 30 deg.	1 5 5 0	1 3 3 0
	right 45 deg.	1 1 8 0	1 2 5 0
	up 45 deg.	1 3 5 0	1 6 1 0
	up 30 deg.	1 7 1 0	1 5 0 0
	0 deg.	1 5 6 0	1 2 4 0
	down 30 deg.	1 7 2 0	1 5 2 0
perpendicular direction	down 45 deg.	1 3 6 0	1 6 0 0

[0149]

As seen from the results shown in Table 20, when the conventional light diffusive sheets were incorporated into the backlight unit, favorable front luminance could not be obtained.

[0150]

As clearly seen from the results of the aforementioned examples, the light control films of the examples exhibited superior front luminance and appropriate light diffusing property, because the rough surfaces thereof were designed so that they should satisfy the